

Application No. 10/056,571  
Date of Response 1/26/2004  
Reply to Action of 10/27/2003

**REMARKS**


This Amendment is being entered in response to the Office Action of October 27, 2003.

**The Examiner has objected to claims 4, 6, 8, and 9 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent format.**

The Examiner has substantially allowed claim 4 and its dependent claims. While the applicant does not necessarily agree that the remaining claims are unpatentable, to facilitate prosecution of this application, the applicant has amended claim 1 to re-present claim 4 in independent format. Claim 4 has been canceled, as it is now redundant with respect to claim 1. The Examiner has previously acknowledged that claim 4 would be allowable if rewritten in independent format. The applicant respectfully submits that claim 1 is now clearly allowable. As claims 2, 3, 6, 8, and 9 are dependent, either directly or indirectly, on independent claim 1 (formerly dependent claim 4), such claims are also clearly allowable.

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. If, for any reason, the Patent Examiner believes that a telephone conference with applicant's agent might in any way facilitate the prosecution of this case, the Examiner is respectfully requested to call such agent.

Respectfully submitted,  
Howard J. Greenwald P.C.

By   
Peter J. Mikesell  
Reg. No. 54,311  
Telephone (585) 387-0285  
Fax (585) 387-0288